Bayesian Inference

"The true logic for this world is the calculus of Probabilities, which takes account of the magnitude of the probability which is, or ought to be, in a reasonable man's mind." James Clerk Maxwell (1850)

Jérémie Mattout Lyon Neuroscience Research Center, France

> With many thanks to Jean Daunizeau Guillaume Flandin Karl Friston Will Penny

SPM MEEG Course, London, May 2011

Outline

- General principles
- The Bayesian way
- SPM examples

- General principles

- The Bayesian way
- SPM examples

A starting point



The notion(s) of probability





P. de Fermat (1601-1665)



To express belief that an event has or will occur

() : All possible events A_i : one particular event

Kolomogorov axioms

(3) $P(A_1 \cup A_2 \cdots \cup A_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{k} P(A_i)$

(1) $0 \le P(A) \le 1$

(for mutually exclusive events)

(2) $P(\Omega) = 1$

```
A.N. Kolmogorov (1903-1987)
```

A few consequences...

 $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B)$ (*joint probability*)

 $P(A \cap B) = 0$ (if mutually exclusive events)

 $P(A \cap B) = P(A) P(B)$

(if independent events)

The notion(s) of probability

Frequentist interpretation

- **Probability** = frequency of the occurrence of an event, given an infinite number of trials

- Is only defined for random processes that can be observed many times

- Is meant to be **Objective**



Bayesian interpretation

- **Probability** = degree of belief, measure of uncertainty

- Can be arbitrarily defined for any type of event

- Is considered as **Subjective** in essence



The notion(s) of probability

Frequentist interpretation

- **Probability** = frequency of the occurrence of an event, given an infinite number of trials

- Is only defined for random processes that can be observed many times

- Is meant to be **Objective**

Bayesian interpretation

- **Probability** = degree of belief, measure of uncertainty

- Can be arbitrarily defined for any type of event

- Is considered as **Subjective** in essence



Joint and conditional probabilities

- Joint probability of A and B $P(A \cap B) = P(A, B)$ Conditional probability of A given B P(A|B)•
- •

$$P(A,B) = P(A|B)P(B)$$

Note that if A and B are independent ٠

$$P(A|B) = P(A)$$

and

$$P(A,B) = P(A)P(B)$$

Joint and conditional probabilities

- Joint probability of A and B $P(A \cap B) = P(A, B)$ Conditional probability of A given B P(A|B)
- •

$$P(A,B) = P(A|B)P(B)$$

$$P(A,B) = P(B,A) = P(B|A)P(A)$$

$$P(A|B)P(B) = P(B|A)P(A)$$

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$



T. Baves (1702-1761)

Probability distributions (quick reminder)

Discrete variable (e.g. Binomial distribution)

P(Heads) = 1 - P(Tails)



Continuous variable

(e.g. Gaussian distribution)



- General principles
- The Bayesian way
- SPM examples

A word on generative models

Model: mathematical formulation of a system or process (set of hypothesis and approximations)



Observations (Y)

A Probabilistic Model enables to:

- Account for prior knowledge and uncertainty

(due to randomness, noise, incomplete observations)

- Simulate data
- Make predictions
- Estimate hidden parameters
- Test Hypothesis

Another look at Bayes rule



A simple example

Univariate Gaussian variables

$$P(\theta|Y) = \frac{P(Y|\theta)P(\theta)}{P(Y)}$$

Likelihood $Y = X\theta + \varepsilon$ $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \gamma)$ Prior $\theta \sim N(\mu, \sigma)$



Qualifying priors

 $P(\theta|Y) = \frac{P(Y|\theta)P(\theta)}{P(Y)}$

Shrinkage prior $\theta \sim N(0, \sigma)$

Uninformative (objective) prior $\theta \sim N(0, \sigma)$ with large σ

Conjugate prior

when the prior and posterior distributions belong to the same family

<u>Likelihood dist.</u>	Conjugate prior dist.
Binomiale	Beta
Multinomiale	Dirichlet
Gaussian	Gaussian
Gamma	Gamma

Hierarchical models and empirical priors

Likelihood $Y = X\theta_1 + \varepsilon \quad \varepsilon \sim N(0, \gamma)$

Prior

$$\theta = \{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{k-1}\}$$
$$\theta_1 \sim N(\theta_2, \sigma_2)$$

$$\theta_2 \sim N(\theta_3, \sigma_3)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\theta_{k-1} \sim N(\theta_k, \sigma_k)$$

Graphical representation



Hierarchical models and empirical priors

Univariate Gaussian variables



Hypothesis testing



• given a null hypothesis, e.g.: $H_0: \theta > 0$



- apply decision rule, i.e.:
- if $P(H_0|Y) \ge \delta$ then accept H0

Posterior Probability Maps (PPM)

Comparison with the frequentist approach

• given a null hypothesis, e.g.: $H_0: \theta > 0$



• given a null hypothesis, e.g.:
$$H_0: \theta = 0$$

 $P(t|Y)$
 $P(t > t*|H_0)$
 $t*$
 $t \equiv t(Y)$

- apply decision rule, i.e.:
- if $P(H_0|Y) \ge \delta$ then accept H0

Posterior Probability Map (PPM)

- apply decision rule, i.e.:
 - if $P(t > t^* | H_0) \le \alpha$ then reject H0

Statistical Parametric Map (SPM)

Model comparison

$$P(\theta|Y) = \frac{P(Y|\theta)P(\theta)}{P(Y)}$$

Making the model dependency explicit...

$$P(\theta|Y,M) = \frac{P(Y|\theta,M)P(\theta|M)}{P(Y|M)}$$

Bayes rule again...
$$P(M|Y) = rac{P(Y|M)P(M)}{P(Y)}$$

And with no prior in favor of one particular model...

 $P(M|Y) \propto P(Y|M)$

Model comparison

if
$$P(Y|M_1) > P(Y|M_2)$$
 , select model M_1

In practice, compute the Bayes Factor...

$$BF_{12} = \frac{P(Y|M_1)}{P(Y|M_2)}$$

... and apply the decision rule

B ₁₂	Evidence
1 to 3	Weak
3 to 20	Positive
20 to 150	Strong
≥ 150	Very strong

Principle of parsimony

$P(\theta|Y,M) = \frac{P(Y|\theta,M)P(\theta|M)}{P(Y|M)}$

Occam's razor

Complex models should not be considered without necessity



Approximations to the (log-)evidence

$$\Delta BIC = -2\log\left[\frac{\sup P(Y|\theta, M_1)}{\sup P(Y|\theta, M_2)}\right] - (n2 - n1)\log N$$

$$\Delta AIC = -2\log\left[\frac{\sup P(Y|\theta, M_1)}{\sup P(Y|\theta, M_2)}\right] - 2(n2 - n1)$$

Free energy **F**



Variational Bayes Inference

Variational Bayes (VB) = Expectation Maximization (EM) = Restricted Maximum Likelihood (ReML)

Main features

- Iterative optimization procedure
- Yields a twofold inference on parameters θ and models M
- Uses a fixed-form approximate posterior $q(\theta)$
- Make use of approximations (e.g. mean field, Laplace) to approach $P(\theta|Y, M)$ and P(Y|M)

The criterion to be maximized is the (negative) free-energy F

$$F \text{ is a lower bound to the log-evidence}$$

$$F = \ln P(Y|M) - D_{KL}(Q(\theta); P(\theta|Y, M))$$

$$= \langle \ln P(Y, \theta|M) \rangle_Q + S(Q)$$

$$= \langle \ln P(Y|\theta, M) \rangle_Q - D_{KL}(Q(\theta); P(\theta|M))$$

$$F = \text{accuracy - complexity}$$

To summarize

Bayesian inference enables us to

- Make use of probabilities to formalize complex models, to incorporate prior knowledge and to deal with randomness, uncertainty or incomplete observations
- Test hypothesis on both parameters and models
- Formalize the scientific methods, that is up-dating our knowledge by testing hypothesis



- General principles
- The Bayesian way
- SPM examples

Segmentation of anatomical MRI



EEG/MEG source reconstruction



(e) ReML solution under the smoothness and valid priors

(f) ReML solution under the smoothness, valid and invalid priors

Dynamic causal modelling of EEG data

Evidence for feedback loops (MMN paradigm)

