Spatial Preprocessing

John Ashburner John@fillion.ucl.ac.uk

Bancothing Bancothing Bancothing Bancothing Bancothing Bancothing

Bential normalisation

With slides by Chloe Hutton and Jesper Andersson



Overview of SPM Analysis



Contents

%Smoothing %Rigid registration %Spatial normalisation

Smoothing

Each voxel after smoothing effectively becomes the result of applying a weighted region of interest (ROI).

Before convolution

Convolved with a circle Convolved with a Gaussian

Smoothing **#Why smooth?** Potentially increase sensitivity Inter-subject averaging ☐ Increase validity of SPM Smoothing is a convolution with a Gaussian kernel

Gaussian convolution is separable



Contents

Within-subject Registration

#Assumes there is no shape change, and motion is rigid-body
#Used by [realign] and [coregister] functions
#The steps are:

Registration - i.e. Optimising the parameters that describe a rigid body transformation between the source and reference images

%Transformation - i.e. Re-sampling according to the determined transformation

Affine Transforms **Rigid-body transformations are a subset #**Parallel lines remain parallel **#**Operations can be represented by: $X_1 = M_{11}X_0 + M_{12}Y_0 + M_{13}Z_0 + M_{14}$ $y_1 = m_{21}X_0 + m_{22}Y_0 + m_{23}Z_0 + m_{24}$ $Z_1 = m_{31}X_0 + m_{32}Y_0 + m_{33}Z_0 + m_{34}$ $\begin{array}{c} \label{eq:second} \mbox{\texttt{HOr as matrices:}} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ z_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} & m_{24} \\ m_{31} & m_{32} & m_{33} & m_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ y_0 \\ z_0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 2D Affine Transforms HTranslations by t_x and t_y $\Delta X_1 = X_0 + t_x$ $y_1 = y_0 + t_y$ \Re Rotation around the origin by Θ radians $\Delta x_1 = \cos(\Theta) x_0 + \sin(\Theta) y_0$ $\triangle y_1 = -\sin(\Theta) x_0 + \cos(\Theta) y_0$ \Re Zooms by s_x and s_y **#**Shear $\Re x_1 = x_0 + h y_0$ $X_1 = S_x X_0$ $\Re y_1 = y_0$ $\square y_1 = S_y y_0$

x

2D Affine Transforms HTranslations by t_x and t_y $x_1 = 1 x_0 + 0 y_0 + t_x$ $y_1 = 0 x_0 + 1 y_0 + t_y$ \Re Rotation around the origin by Θ radians $\Delta x_1 = \cos(\Theta) x_0 + \sin(\Theta) y_0 + 0$ $rightarrow y_1 = -\sin(\Theta) x_0 + \cos(\Theta) y_0 + 0$ \Re Zooms by s_x and s_y: **%**Shear $\Re x_1 = 1 x_0 + h y_0 + 0$ $x_1 = S_x X_0 + 0 Y_0 + 0$ $\Re y_1 = 0 x_0 + 1 y_0 + 0$ $y_1 = 0 x_0 + s_y y_0 + 0$

x

3D Rigid-body Transformations #A 3D rigid body transform is defined by: 3 translations - in X, Y & Z directions 3 rotations - about X, Y & Z axes #The order of the operations matters



Voxel-to-world Transforms **#**Affine transform associated with each image \square Maps from voxels (x=1..n_x, y=1..n_y, z=1..n_z) to some world co-ordinate system. e.g., ■ Scanner co-ordinates - images from DICOM toolbox ☑T&T/MNI coordinates - spatially normalised Registering image B (source) to image A (target) will update B's vox-to-world mapping Mapping from voxels in A to voxels in B is by \boxtimes A-to-world using M_A, then world-to-B using M_B⁻¹ \boxtimes M_B⁻¹ M_A

Left- and Right-handed Coordinate Systems

Analyze™ files are stored in a left-handed system
Talairach & Tournoux uses a right-handed system
Mapping between them requires a flip
△ Affine transform with a negative determinant





Optimisation

#Optimisation involves finding some "best"
parameters according to an "objective
function", which is either minimised or
maximised

% The "objective function" is often related to a probability based on some model



Objective Functions for I mage Registration

#Intra-modal

Mean squared difference (minimise) Normalised cross correlation (maximise) △ Entropy of difference (minimise) **H**Inter-modal (or intra-modal) △Mutual information (maximise) Normalised mutual information (maximise) Entropy correlation coefficient (maximise) △ AIR cost function (minimise)

Mean-squared Difference



 Minimising mean-squared difference works for intra-modal registration (realignment)
 Simple relationship between intensities in one image, versus those in the other
 Assumes normally distributed differences

Gauss-newton Optimisation

×

#Works best for leastsquares **#**Minimum is estimated by fitting a quadratic at each iteration

Inter-modal registration

- Match images from same subject but different modalities:
 - anatomical localisation of single subject activations
 - achieve more precise spatial normalisation of functional image using anatomical image.





Mutual Information



T1 weighted

T1 weighted

#Used for between-modality registration **#**Derived from joint histograms **#**MI = $\int_{ab} P(a,b) \log_2 [P(a,b)/(P(a) P(b))]$ **!**Related to entropy: MI = -H(a,b) + H(a) + H(b) • Where H(a) = $-\int_a P(a) \log_2 P(a)$ and H(a,b) = $-\int_a P(a,b) \log_2 P(a,b)$ I mage Transformations mages are re-sampled. An example in 2D: for $y_0=1..n_{v0}$ % loop over rows for $x_0=1..n_{x0}$ % loop over pixels in row $x_1 = t_x(x_0, y_0, q)$ % transform according to q $y_1 = t_v(x_0, y_0, q)$ if $1 \pounds x_1 \pounds n_{x1} \& 1 \pounds y_1 \pounds n_{v1}$ then % voxel in range $f_1(x_0, y_0) = f_0(x_1, y_1)$ % assign re-sampled value end % voxel in range end % loop over pixels in row end % loop over rows $High What happens if x_1 and y_1 are not integers?$

Simple Interpolation × **K**Nearest neighbour △ Take the value of the closest voxel **#**Tri-linear ✓ Just a weighted average of the neighbouring voxels $\triangle f_5 = f_1 X_2 + f_2 X_1$ $\triangle f_6 = f_3 X_2 + f_4 X_1$ $\triangle f_7 = f_5 y_2 + f_6 y_1$

B-spline Interpolation

A continuous function is represented by a linear combination of basis functions



n

2D B-spline basis functions of degrees 0, 1, 2 and 3

> Nearest neighbour and trilinear interpolation are the same as B-spline interpolation with degrees 0 and 1.

Residual Errors from aligned fMRI

- Re-sampling can introduce interpolation errors

 especially tri-linear interpolation
- Gaps between slices can cause aliasing artefacts
- Slices are not acquired simultaneously
 - rapid movements not accounted for by rigid body model
- I mage artefacts may not move according to a rigid body model
 - image distortion
 - image dropout
 - Nyquist ghost
- Functions of the estimated motion parameters can be modelled as confounds in subsequent analyses

Movement by Distortion Interaction of fMRI

• Subject disrupts B₀ field, rendering it inhomogeneous => distortions in phaseencode direction Subject moves during EPI time series Distortions vary with subject orientation => shape varies













Movement by distortion interaction

Original position





After rotation





Correcting for distortion changes using Unwarp



Estimate movement parameters.



Estimate reference from mean of all scans.

Estimate new distortion fields for each image:

 estimate rate of change of field with respect to the current estimate of movement parameters in pitch and roll.

 $\Delta \varphi + \Delta \theta$ $\partial B_0 / \partial j \quad \partial B_0 / \partial q$

Unwarp time series.

Andersson et al, 2001

Contents

Spatial Normalisation - Reasons

Inter-subject averaging
 Increase sensitivity with more subjects

 Fixed-effects analysis
 Extrapolate findings to the population as a whole
 Mixed-effects analysis

 Standard coordinate system

 e.g., Talairach & Tournoux space

Spatial Normalisation - Objective

Warp the images such that functionally homologous regions from different subjects are as close together as possible

Problems:

 No exact match between structure and function
 Different brains are organised differently
 Computational problems (local minima, not enough information in the images, computationally expensive)
 Compromise by correcting gross differences followed by smoothing of normalised images



Spatial Normalisation - Procedure

#Minimise mean squared difference from template image(s)



Affine registration

Non-linear registration



Template I mages



"Canonical" images



T1

PET

A wider range of contrasts can be registered to a linear combination of template images.



Spatial normalisation can be weighted so that nonbrain voxels do not influence the result.

Similar weighting masks can be used for normalising lesioned brains.

Spatial Normalisation - Templates





#Algorithm simultaneously minimises

Mean-squared difference between template and source image

Squared distance between parameters and their expected values (regularisation)

Spatial Normalisation - Non-linear



Dark – shift down, Light – shift up – Deformation Field in Y



Deformed Image

 ∞

Deformations consist of a linear combination of smooth basis functions

These are the lowest frequencies of a 3D discrete cosine transform (DCT)

Algorithm simultaneously minimises
 △ Mean squared difference between template and source image
 △ Squared distance between parameters and their known expectation

Spatial Normalisation - Overfitting

Without regularisation, the non-linear spatial Template image normalisation can introduce unnecessary Warps. Non-linear

Non-linear registration using regularisation. $(\chi^2 = 302.7)$



Affine registration. $(\chi^2 = 472.1)$

Non-linear registration without regularisation. $(\chi^2 = 287.3)$



References

Friston et al (1995): *Spatial registration and normalisation of images*. Human Brain Mapping 3:165-189

Collignon et al (1995): Automated multi-modality image registration based on information theory. I PMI '95 pp 263-274

Andersson et al (2001): *Modeling geometric deformations in EPI time series*. Neuroimage 13:903-919

Thévenaz et al (2000): Interpolation revisited. I EEE Trans. Med. I maging 19:739-758.

Ashburner et al (1997): Incorporating prior knowledge into image registration. Neurol mage 6:344-352

Ashburner et al (1999): *Nonlinear spatial normalisation using basis functions*. Human Brain Mapping 7:254-266