



 Categorical designs 	
Subtraction	- Additive factors and pure insertion
Conjunction	- Testing multiple hypotheses
 Parametric designs 	
Linear	- Cognitive components and dimensions
Nonlinear	- Polynomial expansions
 Factorial designs 	
Categorical	- Interactions and pure insertion
	- Adaptation, modulation and dual-task inference
Parametric	- Linear and nonlinear interactions
	- Psychophysiological Interactions































Nonlinear Parametric Interaction

F-contrast tests for nonlinear Generation-by-Time interaction (including both linear and Quadratic components)

Factorial Design with 2 factors:

- 1. Gen/Rep (Categorical, 2 levels)
- 2. Time (Parametric, 6 levels)

Time effects modelled with both linear and quadratic components...









Psycho-physiological Interaction (PPI) • PPIs tested by a GLM with form: y = (Y1±A), β₁ + Y1, β₂ + A, β₃ + z y = (Y1±A), β₁ + Y1, β₂ + A, β₃ + z • However, the interaction term of interest, V1±A, is the product of V1 activity and Attention block AFTER convolution with HRF • We are really interested in interaction at neural level, but: (HRF ⊗ V1) ∠ (HRF ⊗ A) ∧ HRF ⊗ (V1 ∠ A) (unless A low frequency, eg, blocked; so problem for event-related PPIs) • SPM2 can effect a deconvolution of physiological regressors (V1), before calculating interaction term and reconvolving with the HRF • Deconvolution is ill-constrained, so regularised using smoothness priors (using ReML).

Overview

- 1. A Taxonomy of Designs
- 2. Epoch vs Event-related
- 3. Mixed Epoch/Event Designs



Advantages of Event-related fMRI













Advantages of Event-related fiVIRI

. Randomised (intermixed) trial order

c.f. confounds of blocked designs (Johnson et al 1997)

- e.g, according to subsequent memory (Wagner et al 1998)
- Some events can only be indicated by subject (in time) e.g. spontaneous perceptual changes (Kleinschmidt et al 1993)
- Some trials cannot be blocked e.g. "oddball" designs (Clark et al., 2000)



Advantages of Event-related fivIRI

- Randomised (intermixed) trial order
 c.f. confounds of blocked designs (Johnson et al 19
- 2. Post hoe / subjective classification of trials e.g. according to subsequent memory (Wagner et al 1998)
- Some events can only be indicated by subject (in time)
 e.g, spontaneous perceptual changes (Kleinschmidt et al 1993)
- Some trials cannot be blocked
 e.g. "oddball" designs (Clark et al., 2000)
- Where accurate models even for blocked designs?
 e.g. "state-item" interactions (Chawla et al, 1999)





Disadvantages of Intermixed Designs

- Less efficient for detecting effects than are blocked designs (see later...)
- Some psychological processes may be better blocked (eg task-switching, attentional instructions)

Overview

- 1. A Taxonomy of Designs
- 2. Epoch vs Event-related
- 3. Mixed Epoch/Event Designs



A bit more formally ... "Efficiency"

Sensitivity, or "efficiency", e (see later):

 $\mathfrak{e}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathbb{X}) = \{ \mathfrak{c}^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbb{X}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbb{X})^{\mathrm{d}} \mathfrak{c} \}^{\mathrm{d}}$

- $X^T X$ represents covariance of regressors in design matrix

High covariance increases elements of (X^TX)

So, when correlation between regressors is high, sensitivity to each regressor alone is low











