

# STATISTICAL ISSUES IN FUNCTIONAL BRAIN MAPPING

**Andrew Peter Holmes**

Submitted to the  
University of Glasgow  
for the Degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Statistics,  
University of Glasgow,  
December 1994

To Mum, Dad, and Rachel.

# Abstract

Using Positron Emission Tomography (PET) it is possible to obtain quantitative images of regional cerebral blood flow, indicative of regional neuronal activity. This is used to examine the function of the brain through designed experiments. The simplest such *functional mapping experiment* is the *simple activation study*, which aims to locate the brain loci responsible for a certain function, by scanning under two conditions differing only in that function. These studies generate small numbers of observations of extremely high dimension, each data point being a three-dimensional image. The statistical analysis of such data sets requires new statistical techniques for significance testing, and it is this problem which this thesis addresses.

Chapter 1 establishes the background to this work, giving a fairly comprehensive layman's description of PET and functional brain mapping.

In the absence of apriori information regarding the location of a particular function, analysis of activation experiments proceeds at the voxel (pixel) level. For each voxel a model must be assumed for the data, and a null hypothesis of "no activation" expressed in terms of the model parameters. An added complication is the presence of global differences in cerebral blood flow between subjects. Computation of a statistic indicating evidence against the null hypothesis at each voxel, gives a statistic image. Model selection and the formation of statistic images is the subject of chapter 2. Particular attention is given to the two most popular models, namely Friston's ANCOVA and that of the  $t$ -statistic formed from subject difference images, with global changes removed by proportional scaling. Problems of simultaneous model fitting and the dangers of assuming homoscedascity are considered.

The assessment of the statistic image presents a large multiple comparisons problem. Regions where the statistic image indicates evidence against the null hypothesis must be located, whilst maintaining strong control over familywise Type I error. The current methods for testing statistic images are discussed in detail in chapter 3, focusing on "random field" methods, their assumptions and properties.

In the remaining chapters, three methods developed by the author for assessing simple activation studies are presented. The first of these is a two-stage approach, in which the group of subjects is split into a pilot group and a study group. A small number of regions of interest are identified from the pilot group data, and the study group data assessed over these regions of interest. A simulation study shows this approach to hold some promise. In chapter 5, the testing problem is reformulated as an image segmentation problem, to which empirical Bayesian techniques from statistical image processing are applied. A Markov random field is used to convey prior belief regarding the contiguous nature of activated areas. Here simulation results indicate that the incorporation of prior belief into a single threshold test results in a more conservative (and less powerful) test.

The subject of the last chapter (ch.6), is a non-parametric approach. A multiple comparisons randomisation test is developed for simple activation studies, which is shown to maintain strong control over familywise Type I error. A step-down procedure with strong control is introduced, and computationally feasible algorithms presented. The methods are illustrated on a real PET data set, with a pseudo  $t$ -statistic formed from subject difference images with a smoothed variance estimate. For the given data set the

continued...

approach is found to outperform many of the parametric methods, particularly with the pseudo  $t$ -statistic. This, together with the flexibility and guaranteed validity of a non-parametric method, makes the approach very attractive, despite the computational burden imposed. The practicalities of the method are discussed, including extensions to other experimental paradigms, other test statistics, and permutation tests.

This is an applied thesis, aimed at the statistically literate PET researcher. In addition to presenting the author's ideas, it is hoped that this document provides a useful summary and comprehensive critique of existing work, giving enough detail to serve as a useful reference.

# Table of Contents

<b>Introduction: Mind and Brain .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Chapter 1: PET Background.....</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1. Additional Reading.....	6
1.2. Data Acquisition.....	7
1.2.1. The Tomograph .....	7
1.2.2. Data acquisition.....	7
1.2.3. Sinograms.....	8
1.2.4. Additional data .....	10
1.3. 2D & 3D PET, SPECT .....	14
1.3.1. 2D & 3D PET.....	14
1.3.2. SPECT .....	15
1.4. Reconstruction.....	17
1.4.1. A statistical model for PET.....	17
1.4.2. Maximum Likelihood Reconstruction.....	19
1.4.3. Filtered Back-projection.....	22
1.4.3.1. Transmission tomography .....	22
1.4.3.2. Approximation of emission tomography problem to fit transmission tomography framework .....	23
1.4.3.3. Reconstruction from projections .....	24
1.4.3.4. Comments on filtered back-projection .....	27
1.4.4. Reconstructed images.....	28
1.5. Tracer and Modelling Issues.....	31
1.5.1. Radioactive isotopes and labelling.....	31
1.5.2. Short half lives and low activities of the isotopes .....	31
1.5.3. Cost of PET.....	32
1.5.4. Modelling .....	32
1.5.5. Imaging cerebral blood flow.....	32
1.6. Functional Mapping with PET .....	34
1.6.1. Imaging brain activity .....	34
1.6.1.1. Tracers for functional mapping.....	34
1.6.1.2. H <sub>2</sub> <sup>15</sup> O infusion protocol .....	35
1.6.2. Types of study .....	36
1.6.2.1. Common factors .....	36
1.6.2.2. Activation studies .....	37
1.6.2.3. Correlation with an external variable.....	37
1.6.2.4. Cross group comparison .....	38
1.6.2.5. Flow correlation, Functional connectivity .....	38
1.6.3. Region of interest methods .....	38
1.6.3.1. ROI methods .....	39
1.6.4. Voxel-by-voxel methods of analysis .....	39
1.6.4.1. Overview.....	39
1.6.4.2. Re-alignment.....	40
1.6.4.3. Anatomical normalisation .....	43
1.6.4.4. Primary smoothing .....	45
1.6.4.5. Adjusted images .....	46
1.7. Data Set–The “V5” Study .....	47
<b>Chapter 2: Statistic Images .....</b>	<b>49</b>
2.1. Global Changes .....	52
2.1.1. Computation of gCBF.....	53
2.1.2. Correcting for changes in gCBF: Normalised images.....	53
2.2. Single Subject Activation Experiments .....	54
2.2.1. Two sample <i>t</i> -statistic .....	54
2.2.2. Friston’s model: ANCOVA .....	56
2.2.3. Model selection for single subject.....	58

2.2.3.1. Model selection for images.....	59
2.2.3.2. Model selection: Single subject activation studies.....	60
2.2.4. Conclusions: Single subject statistic images.....	63
2.3. Multiple Subject Activation Experiments .....	64
2.3.1. Proportional scaling approach.....	64
2.3.1.1. <i>t</i> -statistic on subject difference images.....	64
2.3.1.2. Discussion of <i>t</i> -test on subject difference images .....	65
2.3.2. ANCOVA models.....	67
2.3.2.1. Models.....	67
2.3.2.2. Model selection.....	68
2.3.2.3. Model selection for “V5” study .....	69
2.3.2.4. SPM and Friston’s ANCOVA .....	70
2.3.3. Conclusions .....	72
2.3.3.1. <i>t</i> -statistic on subject difference images.....	72
2.3.3.2. Friston’s ANCOVA.....	73
2.4. Additional Comments .....	74
2.5. A Multivariate Perspective .....	76
2.5.1. Sums of squares approaches.....	76
2.5.2. Multivariate regression formulation.....	77
2.5.3. Multivariate regression .....	78
2.5.4. Image regression .....	79
2.5.5. Multivariate regression revisited.....	80
2.6. Example—“V5” Study .....	83
2.6.1. Proportional scaling approach.....	83
2.6.1.1. Statistic images .....	83
2.6.1.2. A crude Bonferroni analysis .....	86
2.6.1.3. Empirical examination of assumptions .....	86
2.6.2. Friston’s ANCOVA .....	89
2.7. Pooled Variance .....	91
2.7.1. Example—“V5” Study .....	92
2.7.2. Inappropriate use of pooled variance.....	93
<b>Chapter 3: Current Methods for Testing Statistic Images.....</b>	<b>95</b>
3.1. Preliminaries.....	96
3.1.1. Multiple comparisons .....	96
3.1.2. Random fields .....	97
3.1.3. Simulated Gaussian statistic images.....	99
3.1.4. Possible directions .....	101
3.2. MCP Tests .....	102
3.2.1. Bonferroni .....	102
3.2.2. Other MCP methods .....	103
3.3. Random Field Approaches .....	104
3.3.1. Worsley’s Euler characteristic method .....	104
3.3.2. Friston’s “Bonferroni” method.....	107
3.3.3. Transform functions .....	107
3.3.4. Comparison of Friston’s and Worsley’s methods .....	108
3.3.5. Estimation and specification of smoothness .....	109
3.3.6. Discussion of random field approaches .....	112
3.3.6.1. Assumptions .....	112
3.3.6.2. Normality .....	113
3.3.6.3. Model assumptions .....	113
3.3.6.4. Strict stationarity of statistic images .....	113
3.3.6.5. Low <i>df</i> , rough random fields, noisy statistic images.....	114
3.3.6.6. Smoothing Statistic images .....	114
3.4. Omnibus Tests .....	116
3.4.1. Friston’s exceedence proportion test .....	116
3.4.2. Worsley’s exceedence proportion test.....	118
3.4.3. Change distribution analysis .....	122
3.5. Suprathreshold Cluster Tests .....	123
3.5.1. Simulation approaches.....	123

3.5.2. Friston's Theoretical treatment .....	125
3.5.3. Comments on suprathreshold cluster size methods .....	128
3.6. Example—"V5" Study.....	131
3.6.1. Approaches on $t$ -statistic images .....	131
3.6.2. Approaches on Gaussianised $t$ -statistic image.....	134
3.6.3. Secondary smoothing .....	139
<b>Chapter 4: Two Stage Testing .....</b>	<b>143</b>
4.1. Two-Stage Testing.....	144
4.2. Simulation Methods.....	147
4.2.1. Image space .....	147
4.2.2. Simulating difference images .....	148
4.2.3. Two-Stage test implementation .....	151
4.2.4. Voxel-by-voxel tests.....	152
4.3. Results.....	155
4.3.1. Simulation parameters .....	155
4.3.2. Size of tests.....	156
4.3.3. Power of two-stage test.....	157
4.4. Conclusions .....	159
4.4.1. Discussion.....	159
4.4.2. Further work .....	160
<b>Chapter 5: An Empirical Bayesian Approach.....</b>	<b>163</b>
5.1. Introduction and Motivation .....	164
5.2. MRFs and Gibbs Distributions.....	165
5.2.1. Markov Random Fields.....	165
5.2.2. Gibbs Random Fields .....	166
5.3. Image Segmentation .....	169
5.3.1. Segmentation .....	169
5.3.2. The Gibbs sampler .....	170
5.3.3. Example.....	170
5.4. A Bayesian Segmentation Test.....	173
5.5. Simulation Study .....	174
5.5.1.Simulation methods .....	174
5.5.2. Results .....	175
5.6. PET Example.....	176
5.7. Conclusions .....	178
<b>Chapter 6: A Non-Parametric Approach.....</b>	<b>181</b>
6.1. Introduction and Motivation .....	182
6.2. Theory .....	183
6.2.1. Statistic images .....	183
6.2.2. Null hypothesis and labellings.....	185
6.2.3. Single threshold test.....	186
6.2.4. Multi-Step tests.....	188
6.2.4.1. Step-down test.....	189
6.2.4.2. Step-down in jumps variant.....	192
6.2.4.3. Direct computation of adjusted p-values .....	193
6.3. Exemplary Application .....	194
6.3.1. Raw $t$ -statistic .....	194
6.3.2. Pseudo $t$ -statistic image .....	197

6.4. Discussion.....	202
6.4.1. Permutation tests .....	202
6.4.2. Other applications .....	202
6.4.3. Other statistics.....	203
6.4.4. Number of possible labellings, size and power. ....	204
6.4.5. Approximate tests.....	204
6.4.6. Step-down tests.....	205
6.4.7. Computational burden .....	206
6.5. Conclusions.....	207
<b>Appendices .....</b>	<b>209</b>
A: Co-ordinate Systems.....	210
A:1 Referring to voxels by position.....	210
A:2 Tri-linear interpolation .....	211
B: Smoothing Convolution.....	212
B:1 Smoothing convolution .....	212
B:2 Moving average filter.....	212
B:3 Edge effects & boundary truncation smoothing .....	212
B:4 Gaussian kernels.....	213
C: Some Results For Smoothing Convolution.....	214
C:1 Smoothing convolution: commutative for even kernels.....	214
C:2 Double smoothing convolution: Associative for even kernels .....	214
C:3 Double smoothing convolution: Order unimportant.....	215
C:4 Combining Gaussian kernels: Double smoothing .....	215
C:5 Covariance function of smoothed white noise processes .....	217
C:6 Smoothness of smoothed Gaussian white noise fields.....	218
C:7 Smoothness of (Gaussian) smoothed Gaussian white noise.....	218
C:8 Secondary smoothing.....	218
C:9 Effect of scaling on smoothness .....	219
D: Expected Euler Characteristics .....	220
D:1 The $\chi^2$ -field.....	220
D:2 The $F$ -field .....	220
D:3 The $t$ -field .....	221
E: “Transform” Functions .....	222
F: Ordering Theorem .....	223
G: Smoothness of $t$ -Fields .....	224
H: Poline’s Bivariate Approach.....	226
<b>References .....</b>	<b>229</b>

# List of Figures and Tables <sup>†</sup>

## Chapter 1: PET Background

1	Schematic diagram of a positron tomograph .....	7
2	Positron emission, electron annihilation, gamma ray emission.....	8
3	Schematic of detection in a positron tomograph.....	8
4	Construction of one line of a sinogram.....	9
5	Sinogram for a non-central point source .....	9
6	Direct plane sinogram form a scan of the author's brain .....	10
7	Schematic diagram illustrating scatter .....	12
8	Energy distribution of detected gamma photons .....	12
9	Septa .....	14
10	Schematic of a simple gamma camera.....	16
11	Schematic of transmission tomography .....	23
12	Back-projection of a point source.....	25
13	Star artefact in back-projection of a point source.....	25
14	Filtered back-projection of a point source.....	26
15	Artefacts in filtered back-projection of a point source.....	26
16	Positioning and orientation of tomograph axes.....	28
17	Orthogonal sections of the author's brain.....	29
18	Transverse planes of the authors brain .....	30
19	A head holder .....	41
20	The intercommisural line, and Talairach axes.....	43
21	Sections of the author's brain, anatomically normalised and smoothed .....	46

## Chapter 2: Statistic Images

22	Example rest and activation condition images.....	50
23	Global activity by subject for the "V5" subjects.....	52
24	rA against gA at the Talairach origin of the second "V5" subject .....	56
25	Table of <i>p</i> -values for model selection for "V5" individuals.....	60
26	Table of <i>p</i> -values for proportional model for rest scans .....	62
27	Table of <i>p</i> -values for model selection for "V5" data .....	69
28	Subject difference image for first "V5" subject.....	83
29	"V5" study mean difference image.....	84
30	Sample variance of "V5" study subject difference images.....	84
31	"V5" study <i>t</i> -statistic image for subject difference images .....	85
32	One sided <i>p</i> -value image for the "V5" study <i>t</i> -statistic .....	85
33	Bonferroni adjusted one sided <i>p</i> -values for "V5" study <i>t</i> .....	86
34	Q-Q plot for "V5" subject differences at the Talairach origin .....	87
35	Image of correlations of subject differences and expected order statistics.....	88
36	Friston's ANCOVA <i>t</i> -statistic for the "V5" data.....	89
37	One sided <i>p</i> -value image for Friston's ANCOVA on "V5" data.....	90
38	Bonferroni adjusted <i>p</i> -values for Friston's ANCOVA on "V5" data.....	90
39	Chi-squared statistic image for homogeneity of variance.....	92
40	"V5" study <i>t</i> -statistic image computed with pooled variance .....	93
41	Bonferroni adjusted <i>p</i> -values for "V5" pooled variance <i>t</i> .....	94

## Chapter 3: Current Methods for Testing Statistic Images

42	Orthogonal sections of a simulated standard Gaussian statistic image .....	100
43	EDF of the maxima of the simulated statistic images .....	106
44	EDF of AC-PC plane maxima.....	109
45	EDFs of exceedence proportion, with CDF by Friston.....	117
46	EDFs of exceedence proportions, with CDF by Worsley.....	121
47	EDFs for maximum suprathreshold cluster size, with CDF .....	128
48	"V5" study <i>t</i> -statistic image for subject difference images .....	131
49	Bonferroni adjusted one sided <i>p</i> -values for "V5" study <i>t</i> .....	132

<sup>†</sup> Figures and tables have been numbered together.

50	Adjusted $p$ -values for “V5” study $t$ , from expected Euler characteristic.....	133
51	Gaussianised $t$ -statistic image for “V5” study .....	134
52	Adjusted $p$ -values for “V5” study Gaussianised $t$ , expected Euler characteristic .....	135
53	Adjusted $p$ -values for “V5” study Gaussianised $t$ , Friston’s Bonferroni method .....	136
54	Adjusted $p$ -values for suprathreshold cluster size test on Gaussianised $t$ , $\eta=0.001$ ..	137
55	Adjusted $p$ -values for suprathreshold cluster size test on Gaussianised $t$ , $\eta=0.0001$ ..	138
56	Secondary smoothed Gaussianised $t$ -statistic for the “V5” study .....	139
57	Bonferroni adjusted one sided $p$ -values for secondary smoothed “V5” study $t$ .....	141

## Chapter 4: Two Stage Testing

58	Excess area in a 1D image .....	145
59	A toroidal image space .....	147
60	Example white noise image .....	148
61	Mesh plot of 2D Gaussian moving average filter kernel, of FWHM 5 pixels .....	148
62	Example simulated null difference image .....	149
63	Mesh plot of signal at unit amplitude .....	150
64	The $3 \times 3$ square of pixels at the signal centre .....	150
65	Example simulated $t$ -statistic image of 3df .....	151
66	Example region map .....	152
67	Table of 95% CIs for the sizes of the tests on the simulated data.....	156
68	Estimated power curves from the simulation study .....	157
69	Table of comparisons of the two-stage test with other tests .....	158

## Chapter 5: An Empirical Bayesian Approach

70	First and second order neighbours in a 2D array of square pixels .....	165
71	Clique types for first and second order neighbourhood systems.....	166
72	Example grey level scene, and after addition of white noise .....	171
73	Maximum likelihood restoration of the unobserved grey level scene.....	171
74	Estimates of the MPM estimates of the unobserved grey level scene.....	172
75	Table of simulation results for zero signal .....	175
76	Table of simulation results for focal signal .....	175
77	Mesh plot of “Gaussianised” $t$ for “V5” study.....	176
78	“Gaussianised” $t$ for “V5” study, and Bonferroni assessment .....	177
79	Results of Bayesian MPM segmentation test for “V5” data .....	177

## Chapter 6: A Non-Parametric Approach

80	Sample variance and paired $t$ -statistic image for “V5” study.....	194
81	Randomisation values for $T_{\max/W}$ .....	195
82	Single-step adjusted $p$ -values for the $t$ -statistic.....	196
83	Step-down adjusted $p$ -values for the $t$ -statistic .....	197
84	Difference in adjusted $p$ -values for the $t$ -statistic.....	197
85	Smoothed sample variance of “V5” study subject difference images .....	198
86	Paired pseudo $t$ -statistic image for “V5” study .....	198
87	Randomisation values for pseudo $t$ -statistic $T_{\max/W}$ .....	199
88	Single-step adjusted $p$ -values for the pseudo $t$ -statistic .....	200
89	Step-down adjusted $p$ -values for the pseudo $t$ -statistic .....	201
91	Computing times for randomisation tests.....	206

## Appendices

92	Voxel co-ordinates .....	210
93	Hierarchical decomposition of a 1D image .....	227

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisor, Professor Ian Ford, for his friendly advice and encouragement throughout the three (and a quarter) years leading to the submission of this thesis, and for providing me with first class resources.

I would also like to record my gratitude to the following people:

Dr. Karl Friston, of the Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology at the Institute of Neurology; for his enthusiasm and interest in this Ph.D., and for a number of very interesting discussions.

Dr. John Watson, who, whilst a research fellow at the Medical Research Council Cyclotron Unit, took an active interest in my work, encouraged me enormously, helped me to understand the methods of analysis used at the time, and provided the “V5” data set analysed at length in this thesis.

Dr. Keith Worsley, of the Statistics Department, McGill University, Montreal; for his speedy responses to emailed questions, and for his advice.

Mr. David Duncan, formerly data manager at the Robertson Centre for Biostatistics in the Statistics Department at the University of Glasgow; for his interest and assistance in all things computing.

Professor Richard Frackowiak, of the Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology at the Institute of Neurology, formerly director of the Medical Research Council Cyclotron Unit, Clinical Sciences Section; for making it possible to collaborate with fellows at the unit, and for giving me a job.

On a more practical note, I am indebted to the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (formerly the Science and Engineering Research Council) for their continued funding of my postgraduate studies.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents for their love and support.  
Thank you for everything.

## **Declaration**

Unless otherwise stated in the text, the work presented in this thesis is my own.

In attempting to provide a comprehensive review of existing methods, chapters 2 and 3 contain summaries of other peoples work, some slightly reworked. In general the amount of reworking is minimal, and these summaries should be viewed as summaries. However, the evaluation of these methods is my work. Appendix C contains a number of results regarding smoothing of continuous random fields. These are doubtless available elsewhere, but I couldn't find them, and derived them myself (with the exception of result C:6 which is due to Adler, 1981).

The empirical Bayesian approach of chapter 5 was presented orally at Brain PET'93, the first International Symposium on Quantification of Brain Function, held in Akita, Japan. An abstract appears in the *Annals of Nuclear Medicine* (Holmes & Ford, 1993a), and a full paper in the conference proceedings, *Quantification of Brain Function: Tracer Kinetics and Image Analysis in PET* (Holmes & Ford, 1993b).

The randomisation approach of chapter 6 has been accepted for publication in the *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism* (Holmes *et al.*, 1995), and has been the subject of invited seminars at University of Pittsburgh Medical Centre PET Facility (September 1993); The Department of Nuclear Medicine, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Sydney, Australia (June 1993); and the MRC Cyclotron Unit Clinical Sciences Section, Hammersmith Hospital, London (February 1993).

# Introduction

## Mind and Brain

One of the many exciting areas of current medical research is the study of the living human brain. The brain is the most complex structure in the known universe, and its workings, perhaps rather vainly, have always fascinated man. Current findings and methods are frequently reported in the popular press (Vogue, 1992; The Observer, Sunday 2<sup>nd</sup> December 1990). The link between the mind and the brain has troubled philosophers for centuries. René Descartes described the mind three centuries ago as an “extra corporeal entity that was expressed through the pineal gland”. Modern scientific medicine has taken the study of the mind out of the hands of the philosophers. Armed with powerful research tools, medical researchers have gained considerable knowledge of the brain, recently summarised in a special issue of *Scientific American* (1993). One of these research tools is Positron Emission Tomography.

### ***Positron Emission Tomography***

Positron Emission Tomography (PET) is a medical imaging modality that enables quantitative images of the density of a radioactive tracer in the living body to be obtained. Using radioactive bio-chemicals, measurement of various physiological, metabolic and biochemical processes within a living subject is possible.

## **Functional mapping**

Of particular interest are PET experiments where regional blood flow is measured repeatedly in the human brain. Regional cerebral blood flow is linked to regional neuronal activity, so these images are indicative of brain activity. This discovery has led to the use of designed experiments to examine the function of the human brain. The simplest such *functional mapping* experiment is the *activation study*, which aims to locate the brain region responsible for a certain function. These proceed by acquiring scans under two conditions, which differ in the mental processing required, only in the function of interest. Typically the conditions are simply a “rest” and an “activation” condition.

These studies generate small numbers of observations of extremely high dimension, each data point being a three-dimensional image. The statistical analysis of such data sets require new statistical techniques for significance testing, and it is this problem which this thesis addresses.

## **Outline**

The thesis covers three areas, background, a review of existing methods, and a presentation of the author’s efforts.

Chapter 1 establishes the background to this work, giving a fairly comprehensive overview PET and functional mapping. Covered are: the PET tomograph, the physics of PET and SPECT, annihilation detection, image reconstruction, radio-tracer issues, and functional mapping experiments. The aim of this chapter is to set the scene for the reader unfamiliar with PET.

With the background to the data covered, we turn to the main topic of this research, hypothesis testing for simple activation studies.

For each voxel a model must be assumed for the data, and a null hypothesis of “no activation” expressed in terms of the model parameters. An added complication is the presence of global differences in cerebral blood flow between subjects. Computation of a statistic indicating evidence against the null hypothesis at each voxel, gives a statistic image. Model selection and the formation of statistic images is the subject of chapter 2. Using a real PET activation data set, a simultaneous regression analysis is applied to elucidate suitable ANCOVA models for the single and multiple subject activation experiment. Particular attention is given to the two most popular models, namely Friston’s ANCOVA and that of the  $t$ -statistic formed from subject difference images, with global changes removed by proportional scaling. Problems of simultaneous model fitting and the dangers of assuming homoscedascity are considered. The chapter concludes with example statistic images.

The remainder of the thesis addresses the large multiple comparisons problem of assessing these statistic images.

Regions where the statistic image indicates evidence against the null hypothesis must be located, whilst maintaining strong control over familywise Type I error. In chapter 3, the required theory and terminology is reviewed, and the existing methods expounded in sufficient detail to enable their implementation. The validity of these methods is assessed under idealised conditions with a set of simulated Gaussian statistic images. The popular “random field” methods are considered at length, and their assumptions and properties discussed. The chapter closes with analyses of a PET data set using a variety of methods.

In the final chapters, three approaches developed by the author are presented. The first of these is a two-stage approach, in which the group of subjects is artificially split, post hoc, into a pilot group and a study group. A small number of regions of interest are

identified from the pilot group data. The study group data is then analysed over these regions, rather than over all the voxels individually. The idea is that the substantial reduction in the number of simultaneous tests affords an increase in power over a voxel-by-voxel approach on the whole data set, despite the reduced number of subjects available for the final testing. This is examined in a simulation study, where a number of the existing voxel-by-voxel approaches are compared with the two-stage method, applied to sets of two dimensional  $t$ -statistic images formed from simulated Gaussian subject difference images to which focal signals of various amplitudes have been added.

In chapter 5, the testing problem is reformulated as an image segmentation problem, to which empirical Bayesian techniques from statistical image processing are applied. In particular, a Markov Random Field is used to convey prior belief regarding the contiguous nature of activated voxels. Here, simulation results indicate that the incorporation of prior belief into a single threshold test results in a more conservative (and less powerful) test.

The subject of the last chapter (ch.6), is a non-parametric approach. A multiple comparisons randomisation test is developed for simple activation studies, which is shown to maintain strong control over familywise Type I error. A step-down procedure with strong control is introduced, and computationally feasible algorithms presented. The methods are illustrated on a real PET data set, with a pseudo  $t$ -statistic image formed using a smoothed variance estimate. For the given data set the approach is found to outperform many of the parametric methods, particularly with the pseudo  $t$ -statistic. This, together with the flexibility and guaranteed validity of a non-parametric method, makes the approach very attractive, despite the computational burden imposed. The practicalities of the method are discussed, including extensions to other experimental paradigms, other test statistics, and permutation tests.

Each chapter contains its own conclusions section where further work is suggested. Thus, there is no conclusions chapter *per se*.

The references are preceded by appendices containing technical details, results and proofs.

### **Style**

This is an applied thesis, written primarily with the statistically literate PET researcher in mind. For such a reader, it is hoped that in addition to presenting the author's ideas, this document provides a useful summary and a comprehensive critique of existing work, giving sufficient detail to serve as a useful reference.

It is hoped that the resulting level of exposition is not a nuisance to the statistical reader.

### **Omissions**

Inevitably some statistical areas of functional neuroimaging have had to be neglected in this work. The most notable omissions are the *scaled subprofile model* (Moeller *et al.*, 1987, and Moeller & Strother, 1991), and the principal components analyses which are rapidly becoming popular to investigate *functional connectivity* (Friston *et al.*, 1993a&b).

***Caveat***

Our knowledge of the brain is increasing in leaps and bounds. Whether the mind can ever finally know itself, or whether it will stay a step ahead of its pursuer, like the tortoise pursued by the Achilles in Zeno's paradox,<sup>1</sup> remains a question for the philosophers.

---

<sup>1</sup>Zeno of Elea, born around 469B.C., was the originator of the “Race Course” paradox. This is the paradox of a runner never reaching his goal, since he must traverse infinitely many successive halves of the distance. Zeno’s work is discussed in the *Encyclopædia of Philosophy* (1967), 7:369–379 (Collier-Macmillan publishers, London).